

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

CITIZENS UNITED RECIPROCAL
EXCHANGE,

Plaintiff,

v.

STEVEN M. GURSTEN; MICHIGAN
AUTO LAW, P.C.; and ABC
CORPORATIONS 1-10,

Defendants.

)

)

)

) No. 4:24-cv-13008

) Hon. Shalina D. Kumar

) Mag. Judge Kimberly G. Altman

)

)

)

[PROPOSED] ORDER

THIS MATTER, having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff Citizens United Reciprocal Exchange (“CURE”), by and through its counsel, by way of a Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint (“Motion”) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2), and the Court having read and considered the moving papers and any opposition thereto, along with any oral argument of counsel, and for good cause having been shown:

IT IS on this _____ day of _____, 2025

ORDERED that CURE’s Motion is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED that CURE’s proposed Second Amended Complaint shall be filed within _____ days hereof.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Hon. Shalina D. Kumar, U.S.D.J.